

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/567,023 | 02/03/2006 | Sabine Giessler | 284430US0PCT | 9476 |
| | 7590 08/25/200 AK MCCLELLAND | 98 MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. | EXAM | UNER |
| 1940 DUKE ST | FREET | BRUNSMAN, DAVID M | | N, DAVID M |
| ALEXANDRIA | XANDRIA, VA 22314 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1793 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 00/25/2000 | EI ECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Interview Summary

| | | 7 | |
|--|---|---|--|
| | David M. Brunsman | 1793 | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | |
| (1) <u>David M. Brunsman</u> . | (3) | | |
| (2) <u>Jacob Doughty</u> . | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: 19 August 2008. | | | |
| Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2 | 2)⊠ applicant's representative | e] | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e) No. | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>all</u> . | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Chang et al. | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g |)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N | I/A. | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed teachings of probanged at all do not teach or suggest which vessel each congrued that instant specification does have antecedent bas of claims 4 and 8. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONSER INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERLE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIRMENTS on reverse side or on attached sheet.</u> | urior art. Applicant's represent inponent should be put in. Applicant's for the presence (a positive ments which the examiner ag opport of the amendments that with 1.) CTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT) ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, | ative argued that objects amount of the control of | tat the least thative omponents or the claims claims OF THE LICANT IS 'HIS LATER, TO |
| /David M Brunsman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793 | | | |